

Given that this case is almost three years-old, little substantive work has occurred, and the case has already been stayed several times, the Court DENIES the parties' request to stay discovery and/or vacate or continue any deadlines.

Of course, the Court will endeavor to rule on the proposed settlement as soon as practical once it is filed, but the parties shall continue actively litigating the case until the Court orders otherwise.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: November 8, 2016

/s/ Greg Kays
GREG KAYS, CHIEF JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Discovery (Doc. 100). Defendants also explained that they "ceased expending on continuing discovery once agreement on settlement was reached [which appears to have been October 27, 2016], and Defendants have since directed their efforts toward memorializing the settlement in coordination with Plaintiffs' counsel." Thus, it appears Defendants have not produced any documents since mid-July, meaning there has been no progress on discovery for three months in a case that is already thirty months-old.